SEALED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

Case No. 23-60172-CR-MARTINEZ/BECERRA

18 U.S.C. § 1343

18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C)

FILED BY AT D.C

Sep 14, 2023

ANGELA E. NOBLE CLERK U.S. DIST. CT. S. D. OF FLA - FTL

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

vs.

JEWELL FARELL JOHNSON,

Defendant.

INDICTMENT

The Grand Jury charges that:

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

At all times relevant to this Indictment:

The Small Business Administration

- 1. The United States Small Business Administration ("SBA") was an executive branch agency of the United States government that provided support to entrepreneurs and small businesses. The mission of the SBA was to maintain and strengthen the nation's economy by enabling the establishment and viability of small businesses and by assisting in the economic recovery of communities after disasters.
- 2. As part of this effort, the SBA enabled and provided loans through banks, credit unions, and other lenders. These loans had government-backed guarantees.

The Paycheck Protection Program

- 3. The Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security ("CARES") Act was a federal law enacted in or around March 2020, designed to provide emergency financial assistance to the millions of Americans who were suffering from the economic effects caused by the COVID-19 pandemic. One source of relief that the CARES Act provided was the Paycheck Protection Program ("PPP"), which authorized forgivable loans to small businesses for job retention and certain other expenses.
- 4. The SBA promulgated regulations concerning eligibility for a PPP loan. To obtain a PPP loan, a qualifying business was required to submit a PPP loan application, which was signed by an authorized representative of the business. The PPP loan application required the business (through its authorized representative) to acknowledge the program rules and make certain affirmative certifications to be eligible to obtain the PPP loan, including that the business was in operation on February 15, 2020, and either had employees for whom it paid salaries and payroll taxes or paid independent contractors. Payments to independent contractors are typically reported to the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") on a "Form 1099-MISC." In the PPP loan application (SBA Form 2483), the small business (through its authorized representative) was required to state, among other things, its: (a) average monthly payroll expenses; and (b) number of employees. These figures were used to calculate the amount of money the small business was eligible to receive under the PPP.
- 5. In addition, a business applying for a PPP loan was required to provide documentation showing its payroll expenses. This payroll information was material to the application because, pursuant to statutory requirements and implementing regulations, the amount of the loan that typically could be approved was a function of the applicant's historical payroll

costs, consisting of compensation to its employees whose principal place of residence was the United States, subject to certain exclusions.

- 6. Individuals who operated a business under a "sole proprietorship" business structure were eligible for a PPP loan. To qualify for such a PPP loan, individuals had to report and document their income and expenses from the sole proprietorship. Sole proprietorships typically report their income and expenses yearly to the IRS on a "Form 1040, Schedule C." As with other PPP loans, this information and supporting documentation was used to calculate the amount of money the individual was entitled to receive under the PPP. The maximum PPP loan amount for a sole proprietor with no employees was \$20,833.
- 7. PPP loan applications were processed by participating lenders and third-party loan processors. If a PPP loan application was approved, the participating lender funded the PPP loan using its own monies, including by electronic transfer through the Automated Clearing House (ACH) system. While it was the participating lender that issued the PPP loan, the loan was 100% guaranteed by the SBA. Data from the application, including information about the borrower, the total amount of the loan, and the listed number of employees, was transmitted by the lender to the SBA in the course of processing the loan.
- 8. After the lender funded the PPP loan to the borrower, the lender submitted disbursement details into the SBA E-Tran system, with servers located in Sterling, VA. The SBA's Denver Finance Center, located in Denver, Colorado, created payment files and authorized payments of the PPP processing fee to the lender through the Financial Management System to the Treasury. The primary server for the Financial Management System was in Sterling, VA. The PPP processing fee varied depending on the amount of the loan. Once created, the payment files were then transmitted via wire to the U.S. Treasury disbursing office in Kansas City, Missouri,

3

which, in turn, sent instructions for payment of funds to the Federal Reserve Bank Automated Clearing House (ACH) processing site in East Rutherford, New Jersey.

- 9. The proceeds of a PPP loan could be used only for certain specified items, such as payroll costs, costs related to the continuation of group health care benefits, or mortgage interest payments for the business. The proceeds of a PPP loan were not permitted to be used by the borrowers to purchase consumer goods, automobiles, personal residences, clothing, or jewelry, to pay the borrower's personal federal income taxes, or to fund the borrower's ordinary day-to-day living expenses unrelated to the specified authorized expenses.
- 10. The PPP allowed the interest and principal on the PPP loan to be entirely forgiven if the borrower utilized 60% of the loan in the 24 weeks post-disbursement toward payroll costs and utilized the remaining 40% on qualified expense items (e.g., mortgage, rent, and utilities).
- 11. Applying for PPP loan forgiveness was a separate process that required additional affirmations that the applicant satisfied the eligibility for PPP loan forgiveness. Whatever portion of the PPP loan was not forgiven was serviced as a loan.

The Economic Injury Disaster Loan Program

- 12. Another related response to the COVID-19 outbreak was an expansion of an existing disaster-related program administered by the SBA, the Economic Injury Disaster Loan ("EIDL") program, to provide loans up to \$150,000, and additional advance funds of up to \$10,000, for small businesses experiencing a temporary loss of revenue due to the pandemic.
- 13. To qualify for an EIDL authorized by the CARES Act, applicants had to be: (1) a business, cooperative, or agricultural enterprise with 500 or fewer employees, a faith-based organization, a private non-profit, a sole proprietorship or an independent contractor; (2)

4

physically located in the United States or a designated territory; and (3) have suffered working capital losses due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

- 14. EIDL loan amounts were based off a rough calculation of the applicant's net revenues over the six months prior to January 31, 2020. The rough calculation took the difference between the previous annual gross revenues and the previous annual cost of goods sold. That number was divided by two to determine the approved loan amount, up to \$150,000.
- 15. EIDL advance amounts were based off the business's reported number of employees as of January 31, 2020. The SBA provided \$1,000 in EIDL advance funds for each employee on the applicant's payroll, up to the maximum amount of \$10,000.
- 16. EIDL proceeds could have been used to pay fixed debts, payroll, accounts payable, and other bills of the business that could have been paid had the disaster not occurred; however, such loan proceeds were not intended to replace lost sales or profits, or for the expansion of a business.
- 17. Unlike certain other types of SBA-guaranteed loans, EIDL funds were issued directly from the United States Treasury, and applicants applied for EIDL funds directly through the SBA via an online portal and application. The EIDL application process, which also used certain outside contractors for system support, collected information concerning the business and the business owner, including information about the gross revenues and cost of goods sold for the business prior to January 31, 2020. Applicants electronically certified that the information provided was true and accurate and were warned that any false statement or misrepresentations to the SBA, or any misapplication of loan proceeds may result in sanctions, including criminal penalties.

5

18. EIDL applications were received in and processed using computer servers located in the states of Iowa, Virginia, and Washington. EIDL disbursement payments were initiated by the SBA using computer servers located in the state of Colorado, which transmitted the payment information to the Treasury using computer servers located in the state of Virginia.

The Defendant and Related Entities

- 19. Defendant **JEWELL FARELL JOHNSON** was a resident of Miami-Dade County, Florida.
- 20. G.I.G Productions LLC ("GIG") was a Florida limited liability company with a registered principal address in Miami-Dade County, Florida. **JEWELL FARELL JOHNSON** was the authorized manager of GIG.
- 21. LRJ Enterprises of South Florida Inc ("LRJ") was a Florida limited liability company with a registered principal address in Miami-Dade County, Florida.

Relevant Lenders

- 22. Lender 1 was a participating lender in the PPP that issued PPP loans.
- 23. Lender 2 was a participating lender in the PPP that issued PPP loans.

COUNTS 1-2 Wire Fraud (18 U.S.C. § 1343)

- 24. The General Allegations section of this Indictment is re-alleged and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 25. From in or around June 2020, through in or around March 2022, the exact dates being unknown to the Grand Jury, in Miami-Dade County, in the Southern District of Florida, and elsewhere, the defendant,

JEWELL FARELL JOHNSON,

did knowingly, and with the intent to defraud, devise, and intend to devise, a scheme and artifice to defraud, and to obtain money and property by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises, knowing that the pretenses, representations, and promises were false and fraudulent when made, and, for the purpose of executing the scheme and artifice, did knowingly transmit and cause to be transmitted, by means of wire communication in interstate commerce, certain writings, signs, signals, pictures, and sounds, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343.

PURPOSE OF THE SCHEME AND ARTIFICE

26. It was the purpose of the scheme and artifice for the defendant to unlawfully enrich herself by, among other things: (a) submitting and causing the submission of false and fraudulent applications for EIDL and PPP loans made available through the SBA to provide relief for the economic effects caused by the COVID-19 pandemic; (b) causing the disbursement of PPP loans to herself, which she was not entitled to receive; and (c) submitting and causing the submission of false and fraudulent applications for forgiveness of PPP loans made to her.

THE SCHEME AND ARTIFICE

The manner and means by which the defendant sought to accomplish the purpose of the scheme and artifice included, among others, the following:

27. **JEWELL FARELL JOHNSON** submitted and caused the submission of a materially false and fraudulent application to the SBA for a loan through the EIDL program on behalf of G.I.G Productions, including materially false and fraudulent information as to the borrower's annual gross revenue for the period 2019.

- 28. **JEWELL FARELL JOHNSON** submitted and caused the submission of materially false and fraudulent applications for PPP loans from the SBA through Lender 1 on behalf of LRJ and through Lender 2 on behalf of herself as a sole proprietor, including materially false and fraudulent information as to the borrower's average monthly payroll.
- 29. **JEWELL FARELL JOHNSON** submitted and caused the submission of materially false and fraudulent information and documentation in support of applications for PPP loans, including falsified Internal Revenue Service tax forms.
- 30. As a result of false and fraudulent PPP loan applications and information and documentation submitted as part of the scheme and artifice, **JEWELL FARELL JOHNSON** caused Lender 2 to disburse approximately \$33,607 in PPP loan proceeds to **JEWELL FARELL JOHNSON**.
- 31. In furtherance of the scheme and artifice, **JEWELL FARELL JOHNSON** submitted and caused the submission of materially false and fraudulent applications and information to Lender 2 to cause and attempt to cause the forgiveness of PPP loans issued to **JEWELL FARELL JOHNSON**.
- 32. **JEWELL FARELL JOHNSON** used the proceeds of the scheme and artifice to enrich herself.

USE OF WIRES

JOHNSON, for the purpose of executing the aforesaid scheme and artifice to defraud, and to obtain money and property by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises, knowing that the pretenses, representations, and promises were false and fraudulent when made, did knowingly transmit and cause to be transmitted in interstate commerce, by means

of wire communication, certain writings, signs, signals, pictures, and sounds, as more particularly described below:

COUNT	APPROXIMATE DATE	DESCRIPTION OF WIRE
1	July 1, 2020	Disbursement of SBA loan number 5999508006 from
	-	Lender 2 in the approximate amount of \$20,107 by
		Automated Clearing House (ACH) transfer to South
		Florida Educational Federal Credit Union account
		number ending in 0300.
2	February 3, 2021	Disbursement of SBA loan number 9510668306 from
		Lender 2 in the approximate amount of \$13,500 by
		Automated Clearing House (ACH) transfer to South
		Florida Educational Federal Credit Union account
		number ending in 0300.

In violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343.

[Remainder of Page Intentionally Blank]

FORFEITURE ALLEGATIONS

1. The allegations of this Indictment are hereby re-alleged and by this reference fully incorporated herein for the purpose of alleging forfeiture to the United States of America of certain property in which the defendant, **JEWELL FARELL JOHNSON**, has an interest.

2. Upon conviction of a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343, as alleged in this Indictment, the defendant shall forfeit to the United States any property, real or personal, which constitutes or is derived from proceeds traceable to such offense, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C).

All pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and the procedures set forth in Title 21, United States Code, Section 853, as incorporated by Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c).

A TRUE BILL

10

FOREPERSON

MARKENZYZAPOINTE

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

DAVIDA SNIDER

ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	CASE NO.:
v.	
	CERTIFICATE OF TRIAL ATTORNEY
JEWELL FARELL JOHNSON,	
D.C. Luit	Superseding Case Information:
Defendant.	New Defendant(s) (Yes or No)
Court Division (select one)	Number of New Defendants
□ Miami □ Key West □ FTP ☑ FTL □ WPB	Total number of counts
I do hereby certify that:	
	s of the indictment, the number of defendants, the number of probable
witnesses and the legal complexities of the	
 I am aware that the information supplied of their calendars and scheduling criminal tri 	n this statement will be relied upon by the Judges of this Court in setting als under the mandate of the Speedy Trial Act, Title 28 U.S.C. §3161.
3. Interpreter: (Yes or No) No	
List language and/or dialect:	<u> </u>
4. This case will take $\frac{3}{2}$ days for the part	ies to try.
5. Please check appropriate category and	type of offense listed below:
11 ,	Check only one)
I	
	linor
	Misdemeanor
•	elony
V 🗖 61 days and over	
6. Has this case been previously filed in t	his District Court? (Yes or No) No
7. Has a complaint been filed in this matt	er? (Yes or No) No
If ves. Magistrate Case No.	
8. Does this case relate to a previously fil	ed matter in this District Court? (Yes or No) No
If yes, Judge	Case No.
9. Defendant(s) in federal custody as of _	
10. Defendant(s) in state custody as of11. Rule 20 from the District of	of
12. Is this a potential death penalty case? (Yes or No) No
13. Does this case originate from a matter	pending in the Northern Region of the U.S. Attorney's Office
prior to August 8, 2014 (Mag. Judge S	
14. Does this case originate from a matter	pending in the Central Region of the U.S. Attorney's Office
prior to October 3, 2019 (Mag. Judge J	fared Strauss? (Yes or No) No
15. Did this matter involve the participation during his tenure at the U.S. Attorney'	n of or consultation with now Magistrate Judge Eduardo I. Sanchez s Office, which concluded on January 22, 2023? No
	By: /w//.)
	DAVID A SNIDER

Assistant United States Attorney

Court ID No. A5502260

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

PENALTY SHEET

Defendant's Name: <u>JEWELL FARELL JOHNSON</u>	
Case No:	
Counts #: 1-2	
Wire Fraud	
Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343	
* Max. Term of Imprisonment: 20 years	
* Mandatory Min. Term of Imprisonment (if applicable): n/a	
* Max. Supervised Release: 3 years	
* Max. Fine: \$250,000	